

NATIONAL MENTORING PARTNERSHIP

Making the Case for E-mentoring: Suggested Talking Points

What Is E-n	nentoring?
	Pairing of caring, committed adult with youth in need of non-judgmental, supportive adult.
	Structured relationship based on thoughtful matching of similar interests/backgrounds.
	Communication via secure email system that protects young person's privacy and safety.
	Focused on needs of mentored youth.
	Creates meaningful exchange for both mentor and mentee.
	Takes advantage of technology to counter barriers of time and distance.
How Is E-m	entoring Accomplished?
	Adheres to Elements of Effective Practice for E-mentoring.
	Includes safeguards for both parties, including privacy, confidentiality, codes of conduct, safe Internet access, responsible screening of mentors, program oversight and options for troubleshooting problems for both mentors and mentees.
	Provides orientation for mentors and mentees to set relationship parameters, ground rules, expectations and commitments.
	Includes a youth-serving organization and mentor source to provide program management, oversight, monitoring and strategic plan to manage outcomes and accountability.
How Is E-m	entoring Different from Face-to-Face Mentoring?
	Communication is not restricted by geographic proximity.
	Communication is not confined by the calendar or the clock.
	 (Face-to-face usually requires 3-4 hours/wk, X 12 months)

	Relationship building is not necessarily dependent upon mutually enjoyable activities (except for online activities that can be shared and/or taught).
	Communication requires some degree of literacy, familiarity with using email and willingness to talk about oneself frankly.
	Cultivates an appreciation for online culture and electronic communication.
	Commonalities of background or interest are more important in creating successful matches as these similarities are the fundamental basis for the relationship.
How I	s E-mentoring Similar to Face-to-Face Mentoring?
	Ultimate goals are the same: a caring relationship that encourages learning, understanding, support, trust and growth.
	Mentors are screened with background checks by independent party.
	Youth are recruited for interest in and willingness to be mentored.
	Defined mentoring period is determined.
	Interactions are monitored through local agency or other partner organization.
How Is E-me	entoring Similar to Face-to-Face Mentoring?
	Ultimate goals are the same: a caring relationship that encourages learning, understanding, support, trust and growth.
	Mentors are screened with background checks by independent party.
	Youth are recruited for interest in and willingness to be mentored.
	Defined mentoring period is determined.
	Interactions are monitored through local agency or other partner organization.
Who Benefit	s from E-mentoring?
Youth Who:	
	Participate willingly and are committed to regular communication.

	Have an identifiable need (e.g., career or academic coaching, relationship development) or who may be at risk in some area of their lives.
	Have sufficient literacy skills to conduct text-based communication.
	Are sufficiently mature to talk about feelings, opinions, aspirations or fears.
	Have regular, reliable Internet access.
Mentors Wh	10:
	Commit to regular, consistent communication over an extended period of time (e.g., one year).
	Understand their role and the boundaries of their relationship with the youth.
	Have strong motivation but irregular schedules or limited time to mentor.
	Have reliable Internet access and experience in an online environment.
	Are able to express feelings in a text-based environment.
	Are willing to learn from a younger person.
Why Not Ro	ely Only on Traditional Mentoring?
	Time constraints prevent thousands of otherwise willing volunteers from traditional mentoring.
	Geography separates many willing mentors from youth who could benefit from their guidance.
	Thousands more youth are in need of mentors than can be matched in traditional programs.
	Traditional mentoring can be enhanced by additional communication through email.
	toring Measure Up to the Quality Standards Expected in Mentoring?
	E-mentoring has the same obligations to participating youth as traditional mentoring. U E-mentoring programs have the same responsibility to adhere to best practice as traditional ones.
	Regularity of communication is prescribed, just as traditional programs have minimal meeting time requirements.

	E-mentoring relationships must be monitored as much as traditional ones (see below).
•	ntoring Pairs Need a "Structured Program" When They Could Each Other?
	Just as in traditional programs, e-mentoring programs require:
	 Screening of mentors
	Recruitment of suitably motivated youth
	Protections for privacy and safety
	 Monitoring of email and relationships by responsible/accountable staff
	Appropriate training and expectation setting
	 Ongoing support for both youth and mentors
	Responsible ways to terminate non-productive relationships
	Reliable technology, Internet access and troubleshooting expertise must be provided for all e-mentoring participants.
Can E-ment	oring Replace Traditional Mentoring?
	Not intended to replace traditional mentoring. Can provide alternative to face-to-face mentoring if traditional mentors not available in sufficient numbers.
	Can enrich traditional mentoring program by adding additional communication without additional meeting time.
	Can provide safe conversational space (and time to consider responses) for topics that might be difficult in person.
	Further development of e-mentoring could include actual curriculum for mentoring pairs to develop and enhance specific skills, e.g., technology, career exploration or academics.
What Techn	ology Is Required for an E-mentoring Program?
	E-mail software that provides secure online environment.
	Server to host the software.
	E-mail access for mentors and mentees.
	Computer access for mentors and mentees.
	Technical support to manage the e-mentoring relationships.

How Can t	the Safety of Youth Be Protected in E-mentoring Programs?
	Conduct thorough screening of potential mentors.
	Adapt existing program safeguard measures to cyberspace. Li Establish good tracking procedures and monitor diligently. u Use a secured email environment.
	Know and follow the law affecting youth service programs.
	Provide a coordinator who knows children at mentee site to advocate for children and help them solve problems.
	Provide a coordinator at mentor source site to supply information, technical assistance and mentoring oversight.
ls an E-me	entoring Program More Expensive than a Traditional Program?
	Only limited data available (emerging mentoring model). Some evidence provided by:
	 Study by MENTOR/PPV (April 2000) shows average cost by program type as:
	Community-based (17 sites) - \$1,369
	School-based (16 sites) - \$567
	 E-mentoring (16 Digital Hero Campaign program sites) - \$409
What Spec	cialized Training Is Necessary for E-mentoring Program Staff?
	Same training needed to adopt <i>Elements of Effective Practice</i> in any mentoring setting.
	Provide orientation on:
	 Goals and procedures in e-mentoning and format options for delivery.
	Technical requirements.
	Program features that predict success:
	 Appropriate recruitment targets (organizations, mentors and mentees).
	 Online safety measures (confidentiality, conduct

codes, secure communications, etc.).

Diligent relationship monitoring and support.

	Technical and mentoring support. Factor supposes of the similarities between traditional and Factors.
	Foster awareness of the similarities between traditional and E-mentoring programs.
_	ng an E-mentoring Program More Time Intensive than a Il Program?
	Foster No formal data available.
	Time demands at start-up depend on the structure and practices already in place.
	Once established, e-mentoring program should not be more demanding than traditional one.
	No (or few) face-to-face activities to plan.
	More follow-up with mentoring pairs on how relationship is progressing.
	Some mentor/mentee training can be done online.
	Limited data available (emerging e-mentoring model)
	lence Do We Have That E-mentoring Works?
	Considerable anecdotal evidence that e-mentoring benefits include
	 Improved writing skills
	 Improved self-directed learning
	 Improved ability to become proactive learner
	 Improved self-awareness
	Strong mentor retention data
	 Most e-mentees want to continue emailing the mentor after program ends
	 Majority of e-mentees would like to be re-matched if e-mentor were unavailable
	 Most mentees would recommend e-mentoring to a friend
What Ration	onales for E-mentoring Appeal to Corporations?
	Opportunity to:
	 Make significant contribution without interfering with employee work day

	 Use technology to surmount time and distance
	 Introduce mentoring to a broader range of employees
	 Develop a relationship with a school for future corporate/school partnership
	o Introduce youth to the workplace by building in workplace visits
	Easy, non-threatening way to begin mentoring for organization that has no mentoring experience.
	Frequently becomes the first link to developing a traditional, structured mentoring program.
	Adds to the menu of choices corporations can offer employees for volunteer opportunities.
What Kind Mentors O	
	Program support
	 Online support at MENTOR's E-mentoring Clearinghouse: http://www.mentoring.org/emc
	 Consultation from MENTOR program staff
	Technical support
	 Technical support on software questions via a web-based message board from TechSoup, a technology web site for nonprofits for software questions. Response from technical staff will be posted on the same board within 24 hours.
What Are S	Some Barriers to Successful E-mentoring?
	Failure to set mentor/mentee expectations very clearly at the outset.
	Lack of technological or troubleshooting competency.
	Inadequate access to computers/Internet time for youth.
	Lack of ongoing relationship monitoring, support, and program management.
	Lack of consistent communication between mentoring partners.
	No contingency plans in case of staff turnover.

	Failure to implement specific polices and procedures for ensuring youth safety, terminating unsatisfactory mentors or mentees, or concluding satisfactory matches.
Why Might / Software?	Mentors Online Be Preferable to Another E-mentoring Model or
	Tool Kit incorporates all of the tools needed for a successful e- mentoring program without "reinventing the wheel."
	Specialized software guarantees a secure online environment.
	Software is free. Only cost is \$100 license application fee.
	Access to the Tool Kit is limited to those organizations that can demonstrate mentoring best practices and technical readiness for E-mentoring.
	Tool Kit includes archiving and administrative tools for managing the program.
	Resources provided with the Tool Kit include online training, program and technical staff guides and online matching questionnaires.
How Can I E	Evaluate My E-mentoring Program Results?
	Same tools as a traditional program, e.g.
	 Pre- and post-surveys
	 Focus groups with mentors and mentees
	 Individual interviews with site and program coordinators
	Individual interviews with mentors
	What 's Working? Tools for Evaluating Your Mentoring Program (recommended)
	Tool developed by Rebecca N. Saito, Ph.D. for the Mentoring Partnership of Minnesota and MENTOR National Mentoring Partnership, and published by Search Institute, 2001. Purchase through the Search website: http://www.searchinstitute.org Licensees for Mentors Online will receive a complimentary copy.
What Works	s in E-mentoring?
	Pilot programs with e-mentoring indicate authentic connections can be made between mentoring pairs if communication is regular, open, and consistent and deals with issues important to youth.

Failure of either party to correspond regularly will hinder relationship development as trust needs time to grow; trust is the basis for meaningful relationship.	
Most critical characteristics of successful mentoring outcomes for youth are all achievable through e-mentoring:	
 Strongly youth-centered relationships 	
 Emotionally engaged relationships 	
Minimal disappointments (for youth) related to relationship	
Successful matches are more dependent upon common interest or common background as the sole source of conversation since pair (in most cases) will not be sharing activities to spur conversation.	